Introduction

Intranasal Corticosteroids Sprays (INCS) are widely used in the field of rhinology. They are commonly used treatments for a variety of conditions: allergic rhinitis, non-allergic rhinitis, acute rhinosinusitis, chronic rhinosinusitis without nasal polyps, chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps and autoimmune disease with nasal manifestations \(^1,2\). INCS significantly reduce cytokine production and inhibit inflammatory cell recruitment, reducing symptoms in inflammatory conditions \(^3-5\). INCS are effective in providing symptomatic improvement of nasal obstruction and rhinorrhea and generally have an excellent safety profile \(^3-5\).

INCS are first line treatment for allergic rhinitis (AR), a chronic disease which alone affects 10-20% of the global population \(^6,7\). Given the prevalent use of INCS, it is important to understand how patients are using INCS to achieve optimal clinical outcomes and minimize patient morbidity.

Little evidence exists regarding the optimal method and positioning for INCS and manufacturer instructions are commonly difficult to understand \(^8\). Patients are routinely encouraged to aim the nozzle so the bulk of the spray is deposited on the lateral wall and inferior turbinate in contrast to patients who only received one form of instruction or no instructions.

Conclusion:

Most patients (83%) incorrectly aim INCS when compared to current guidelines. There was statistically significant improvement in the positioning of patients who reported receiving both verbal and written instruction; however, this study highlights a greater need for patient education.
and may decrease patient compliance. To aim away from the septum, the European Position Paper on Rhinosinusitis and Nasal Polyps 2020 (EPOS 2020), encourages the use of the contralateral hand to the naris in which the INCS will be sprayed. Using the ipsilateral hand has been demonstrated to increase the risk of epistaxis and decrease patient compliance.

Several studies have assessed the optimal method to achieve drug deposition on the inferior turbinate. Head orientation, spray bottle orientation, drug characteristics, device design, sinonasal anatomy and breathing rates all affect intranasal drug transport. Foo et al. found plume angle and administration angle significantly affect turbinate deposition while droplet size, viscosity, device and inspiratory flow rate had minimal effect on turbinate deposition. Using nasal models, Shah et al. noted that tilt angle significantly affected deposition. They concluded that leaning the head forward to 23 degrees from the vertical while maintaining a vertical bottle achieved the maximum deposition on the inferior turbinate. Further studies confirmed that head position, which in turn determines spray angles, is an important factor in nasal drug deposition. Individual dif-
ferences in anatomy have also been shown to be critical in INCS delivery (10,14,16,17). Experimentally validated computational simulations of inhalation transport and drug delivery, carried out in anatomically realistic sinonasal reconstructions, have suggested that a personalized angle based off of a patient’s intra-nasal anatomy might be a better solution to enhance topical delivery of sprayed particulates at the ostiomeatal complex and inside the sinus cavities (10,18-20). While these studies did not specifically measure the effect of aiming towards/away from the septum, they demonstrate that spraying angles are critical in optimizing deposition of the medication.

Although the exact relationship between INCS deposition and clinical efficacy remains to be elucidated, most studies agree that deposition beyond the nasal valve is desirable for clinical outcomes (21). Endoscopic sinus surgery is often performed to aid in delivery of INCS beyond the anterior nasal segments (22). The role of the nasal cycle in INCS deposition is unknown, although timing with regards to the nasal cycle may improve deposition and efficacy of INCS (23,24). Given the prevalent use of INCS, and the desire to achieve optimal angles for drug deposition, it is important to understand how patients are self-administering these commonly used medications. When INCS are aimed medially, there is more observed epistaxis than when they are aimed laterally (7). When patients experience epistaxis, they frequently stop using INCS, diminishing clinical outcomes (12). The goal of this study was to determine how patients position INCS for drug delivery and if verbal or written instructions improve positioning. We hypothesized that patients do not routinely use proper technique when administering INCS and that verbal and written instructions would improve positioning.

Materials and methods
This study was determined to be exempt by the University of North Carolina Institutional Review Board (IRB 19-0973) as an “benign behavioural intervention” only involving adults. Photo documentation of patients administering a generic intranasal spray bottle was obtained after a visit with a rhinologist at a tertiary care centre. Patients provided information on their age, sex, whether this was their first visit and whether they had recalled receiving verbal and/or written instructions on INCS positioning. Patients under the age of 18 were excluded from the study. Four pictures were taken of each patient: a frontal view of the patient spraying into the right naris, a frontal view of the patient spraying into the left naris, a right profile view of the patient spraying into the right naris and a left profile view of the patient spraying into the left naris (Figure 1). A vertical reference line was included in the background of the frontal and profile view (Figure 1, Figure 2, and Figure 3 redline) and a horizontal reference line was made by drawing a perpendicular line to the vertical reference line (Figure 2 and Figure 3; blue line). On the profile view, the Frankfort horizontal plane was drawn from the superior aspect of the external auditory canal through the infe-
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rior orbital rim (Figure 3, white line). Two additional lines were drawn: 1) on the frontal view a vertical facial line was placed from the nasion through the labrale superius (Figure 2; green line) and 2) on the profile and frontal view a line bisecting the bottle was placed (Figure 2 and Figure 3; yellow line). From these fundamental lines, angles were computed using ImageJ software (US Institutes of National Health, Bethesda, MD, USA) (26). The INCS-Septal angle was calculated as the angle between the facial vertical line and the line bisecting the bottle. The Head Frontal Tilt angle was calculated as the angle between the facial vertical line and the reference vertical line. The INCS-Profile angle was calculated as the angle between the Frankfort horizontal plane and the line bisecting the bottle. The Head Profile Tilt angle was calculated as the angle between the Frankfort Horizontal Plane and the reference horizontal line. Definitions of all computed angles are described in Table 1.

**Results**

A total of 46 patients at the rhinology clinics of a tertiary referral centre were included in the study. The mean age was 51 years with a range of 21 to 79 years. There were 24 males and 22 females. Four patients had never used INCS. Eleven patients reported previously receiving written instructions and 20 patients reported receiving verbal instructions. Eight patients reported receiving written and verbal instructions. The INCS-Septal angle ranged from 50 degrees towards the septum (Figure 4, blue bottle) to 42 degrees towards the lateral wall (Figure 4, green bottle). For the right nostril the mean INCS-Septal angle was -10.5° (towards the septum) with a range of -39.3 to 23.1° (Figure 5; orange circles). On the left nostril the mean INCS-Septal angle was -4.5° (towards the septum) with a range of -50.3° to 42.4° (Figure 5; blue squares). 15 (32%) participants aimed toward the lateral nasal wall and inferior turbinate in at least one naris. Only 8 patients (17%) aimed away from the septum on both nares.

In patients who had not received prior instructions on the use of INCS, the mean INCS-Septal angle was -11.6° (towards the septum; 95% CI –16.2° to -7.1°). The average INCS-Septal angles with written or verbal instruction were -4.2° (towards the septum; 95% CI -24.8° to 16.4°) and –5.0° (towards the septum; 95% CI -11.4° to 1.4°), respectively. Patient who reported previously receiving both written and verbal instruction had an average INCS-Septal angle that was significantly more lateral than the group who reported no instructions with a mean angle of 3.3° (away from the septum; 95% CI -3.9° to 10.5°; p = 0.006; Figure 5B).

Patients were not instructed to utilize a dominant hand or switch hands during the simulated administration. When using the ipsilateral hand, the INCS-Septal angle was -12.7° (towards the septum; 95% CI -16.7° to -8.8°) while it was 1.8° (away from the septum; 95% CI -3.2° to 6.8°; Figure 5C) when using contralateral hand to naris. The difference was significant with p < 0.0001 (Figure 5C).

The INCS-Profile angles (Table 1) were determined relative to the Frankfort horizontal plane. An angle of 180° indicates the bottle was parallel to the Frankfort horizontal plane while an angle of

### Table 1. Definition of angles .

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Angle</th>
<th>View</th>
<th>Line 1</th>
<th>Line 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INCS-Septal</td>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>Bisecting Bottle (yellow)</td>
<td>Facial vertical facial (green)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head Frontal Tilt</td>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>Reference Vertical (red)</td>
<td>Facial vertical (green)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCS-Profile</td>
<td>Profile</td>
<td>Bisecting Bottle (yellow)</td>
<td>Frankfort Horizontal Plane (white)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head Profile Tilt</td>
<td>Profile</td>
<td>Reference Horizontal (blue)</td>
<td>Frankfort Horizontal Plane (white)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Position of patient’s head relative to vertical reference line on the wall.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Angle</th>
<th>Right Naris (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Left Naris (mean ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Head Frontal Tilt</td>
<td>6.1° ± 16.1 (away from reference vertical)</td>
<td>4.1° ± 5.8 (away from reference vertical)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head Profile Tilt</td>
<td>0.1° ± 14.0 (below reference horizontal)</td>
<td>2.4° ± 15.9 (below reference horizontal)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Range of Septal Angles. This figure demonstrates the wide range of angles at which patients sprayed INCS. The angles ranged from 50° to the septum to 42° degrees to the lateral wall.
90 degrees indicates the bottle was perpendicular to the Frankfort horizontal plane (Figure 3). The INCS-Profile angle ranged from $85.4^\circ$ to $149.9^\circ$. The mean INCS-Profile angle for the right naris was $112.8^\circ$ and was $117.4^\circ$ for the left naris. The position of the head relative to the vertical and horizontal reference line are found in Table 2.

**Discussion**

This study demonstrated enormous variability in the method by which patients administer their INCS. The majority (82%) of patients aim their spray bottle towards their septum, even patients who reported previous instruction on how to properly utilize an INCS bottle. Of the 92 individual sides analysed, only 19 were aimed away from the septum (21%). Of the patients who recalled previous verbal instructions, only 17 of the total 40 sprays were aimed away from the septum (43%).

The wide range of angles used while administering INCS along with the low number of patients who spray away from the septum suggests that there is a greater need for patient education. In our practice, we routinely provide verbal and written instructions (see Additional information) to patients who are prescribed INCS, yet only 51% of all patients recall receiving any form of instruction. Even among patients who recall receiving instruction, only 43% aim away from their septum. This suggests that continual reminders may be necessary to help patients effectively use INCS.

While data on patients’ dominant hand was not collected, using contralateral hand to naris lead to INCS-Septal angles that were more toward the lateral wall and inferior turbinate than when the ipsilateral hand was utilized. The angles of patients who used the contralateral hand improved INCS-Septal angles by 73%. Since using the opposite hand to the opposite naris leads to better INCS-Septal angles, providers should remind patients about this component of administering INCSs in particular.

The combination of verbal and written instructions may seem redundant but had the greatest effect on patient positioning. Ensuring that patients receive instructions on spray technique and feedback while demonstrating how they position their bottle could be helpful in optimizing medication delivery. Given that patients are more likely to be non-compliant with medications when experiencing side effects, ensuring that patients are aiming away from the septum should improve clinical efficacy. This study assesses a static angle of INCS spray bottles in relation to the patient face and whether patients previously received instruction on correct usage. We did not account for inhalation while activating the device or dynamic movement of the device; however, the INCS-Septal angle was used to assess whether patients are attempting to follow current guidelines.

Our group has previously demonstrated that there is not a single optimal spray angle for all patients, but that INCS deposition can be optimized based on each individual patient’s anatomy which is not accounted for in this study. An interesting area of further research would be to consider giving patients INCS instructions based off individual anatomy. An additional limitation in this study was the use of a single generic spray bottle. Despite these limitations, we provide convincing evidence that patients do a poor job of aiming away from the septum and that education does provide some benefit. However, patient education needs to be optimized to improve INCS use.

**Conclusions**

In summary, there is wide variability in how patients self-admi-
nister INCS, with most patients not following current guidelines. Giving verbal and written instructions in which the provider emphasizes aiming away from the septum and using the opposite hand to opposite naris could improve patient understanding of how to properly administer INCS. Improving instruction methods could reduce patient morbidity and improve treatment efficacy of INCS.
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Additional information

Instructions for spraying INCS given at our clinics:

**Nasal steroid use instructions**

Step 1. Prepare the nose. Blow the nose before administering the drug.

Step 2. Prime and activate the delivery device as recommended by the manufacturer.

Step 3. Position the head by tilting the head forward.

Step 4. Insert the tip of the applicator gently, avoiding contact with the septum.

Step 5. Aim the applicator tip about 45° from the floor of the nose and direct it at the outer corner of the eye on the same side to avoid traumatizing or spraying the septum.

Step 6. Close the other nostril gently with a finger.

Step 7. Sniff or inhale gently while delivering the drug.